# **Browns Valley Elementary School**

9555 Browns Valley School Rd. • Browns Valley, CA 95918 • (530) 741-6107 • Grades K-6
Ashley Vette, Principal
avette@mjusd.com
brownsvalley.mjusd.com

**2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year** 



# Marysville Joint Unified School District

1919 B Street Marysville, CA 95901 (530) 741-6000 www.mjusd.com

> Superintendent Gay Todd, Ed.D.



#### About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

# **School Description and Mission Statement**

School. Community. Family. Every student, every day.

Browns Valley Elementary School is nestled on 10 rolling acres in the foothills. It is one of the District's best kept secrets. The school is located in the unincorporated area of Yuba County, 13 miles northeast of Marysville. Although our school is over 50 years old, the buildings and grounds exemplify the love and pride staff, parents, and students have for our school. This is demonstrated by the rare finding of litter and zero crime reports or graffiti. We are a family with one goal in mind - give students the best education possible with a creative, nurturing atmosphere. The foothills community is proud and actively supportive of the students and school community. Together, we take great pride in being the highest performing school in the district!

# **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Educating our children is a team effort. Parents and guardians, students, teachers, support staff, and the community benefit when there is a shared responsibility for learning. Excellence in education is achieved when parents and the community help educators prepare students to successfully reach their dreams. Together we can open the doors of tomorrow for students today. Browns Valley Elementary School encourages parents to be part of their child's learning experience. Opportunities include: Parent Teacher Conferences, Back-to-School Night, The Winter Program, Open House, Awards Assemblies, parent trainings, Family Nights, monthly PTA and SSC meetings, field trips, class parties, fundraising efforts, and volunteering. Notices are regularly sent home to parents and the School Messenger phone system is used as another communication tool. Browns Valley School has an open door policy and encourages parents to come to school or call to share concerns or obtain answers to questions. The Site Council also annually adopts a Parent Involvement Policy.

Please call to find out how you can become involved and demonstrate the importance of education to your child.

Parent involvement coordinator: Ashley Vette (530) 741-6107

#### **Student Enrollment**

Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
К	32			
1	25			
2	30			
3	23			
4	23			
5	30			
Total Enrollment	163			

Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	0.6			
American Indian or Alaska Native	4.9			
Asian	1.2			
Filipino	0			
Hispanic or Latino	11			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0			
White	71.2			
Two or More Races	9.2			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27.6			
English Learners	0.6			
Students with Disabilities	9.8			
Foster Youth	3.1			

### **School Climate**

#### **School Safety Plan**

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Each school site has adopted a Comprehensive Safe School Plan to ensure our school environment is conducive to academic achievement. It is a working document reviewed on a regular basis and has been developed through our School Safety Planning Committee (SSC). This planning committee is composed of school staff, district staff, community members, and local law enforcement. We work closely with other school administrators to ensure that all school safety plans are consistent throughout the district. Improvements and revisions are made at our monthly safety meetings with local law enforcement.

Signs are visibly posted throughout the school requiring all non-school employees to register at the office. All staff members are trained to stop and question any person who is not wearing an identifying badge issued from the office. The Site Council formally adopts the Safe School Plan, and it is board approved before March 1 every year. The plan includes the following major elements: safe school goals, school crime assessment, safe school strategies and programs, child abuse reporting procedures, disaster response procedures, suspension and expulsion policy, teacher safety, sexual harassment policy, district tobacco policy - safe school drug and tobacco education, dress code, safety standards for arrival and departure, and school discipline.

The safety of our students is our top priority. Each month, our students and staff participate in safety drills designed to address potential emergencies at our school including fire evacuation, earthquake drop and cover, and lock downs in the event of an on-campus intruder. Catapult EMS is a communication system used during emergencies to inform staff, the District Safety Team, and law enforcement of a school incident or crisis. The system uses real-time student accounting, staff location check-ins, threat report management, and messaging through text messages and emails.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
Rate	School			District		
Nate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	1.2	0.7	2.1	9.3	8.4	7.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.5

<sup>\*</sup> The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

# **School Facilities**

#### **School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements**

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Month and year in which data were collected: November 2016

# **School Facility Good Repair Status**

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

	Repair Status				Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		Х				
Interior: Interior Surfaces			Х			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		Х				
Electrical: Electrical		Х				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		Х				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		х				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs			Х			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			Х			
Overall Rating		Х				

# **Teachers**

Teacher Credentials								
School 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17								
With Full Credential	7	7	7					
Without Full Credential	0	1	1					
Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0					
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17					
With Full Credential	•	•	383					
Without Full Credential	•	•	9					

Teacher Misassignments							
Indicator 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17							
Teachers of English Learners	0	1	1				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0				

- \* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.
- \*\* "Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

## **Instructional Planning and Scheduling**

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, was student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through inclass coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

The Marysville Joint Unified School District Board of Trustees believes that, in order to maximize student learning and achievement in all subgroups, certificated staff members must be continuously engaged in learning and improving their skills through deep professional learning and collaboration. The district offers a program of ongoing professional development which includes opportunities for teachers to enhance their instructional and classroom management skills and become informed about changes in teaching strategies and subject matter. Along with ongoing grade level articulation and trainings scheduled during and after school, teachers participate in 7 days of professional development on non-instructional days. Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

	, , ,					
60	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by					
Location of Classes	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
District						
All Schools	96.7	3.3				
High-Poverty Schools	96.7	3.3				
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0				

\* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# **Support Staff**

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff					
Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor			
Academic Counselor	0.00	0.00			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.00				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.00				
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.50				
Psychologist	0.20				
Social Worker	0.00				
Nurse	0.00				
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.20				
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.00				
Other	0.00				

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of fulltime.

#### **Data and Access**

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **EdData Partnership Web Site**

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

# **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### **Curriculum and Instructional Materials**

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

K-8 "State-adopted" instructional materials are those instructional resources which the SBE has formally "adopted" for use in the classroom. This action is required by the California State Constitution, Article 9 Section 7.5. The SBE considers K-8 instructional materials for adoption following a thorough review process outlined in both law (EC 60200) and regulations (CCR, Title 5 sections 9510-9525). There are no state adoptions for grades nine through twelve. LEA governing boards have the authority and responsibility under EC Section 60400 to adopt instructional materials for use in their high schools for grades nine through twelve.

For more information on the California Department of Education Instructional Materials Adoptions, visit: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ci/cr/cf/imagen.asp.

Month and year in which data were collected: September 2016

Core Curriculum Area	m Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption		Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw Hill, World of Wonders, Gr. TK (2015) McGraw Hill, Reading Wonderworks, RSP K-6 (2015) McGraw Hill, FLEX, SDC 3-5 (2015)	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, Go Math, Gr. K-8 (2014)	Yes	0%
Science	MacMillan/McGraw, California Science, Gr. K-5 (2008) Holt, Rinehart and Winston, California Science: Earth, Life, and Physical Science, Gr. 6-8 (2007)	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Pearson Scott Foresman, Scott Foresman History-Social Science for California, Gr. K-5 (2006) Pearson Prentice Hall, Prentice Hall Social Studies, Gr. 6-8 (2006)	Yes	0%

### **School Finances**

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (FY 2014-15)								
	Expenditures Per Pupil							
Level	Total	Total Restricted Unrestricted Salary						
School Site	\$8,416	\$1,910	\$6,506	\$73,961				
District	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	\$5,985	\$66,963				
State	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	\$5,677	\$71,517				
Percent Difference: School Site and District			8.7	10.5				
Percent Differe	nce: School Site	e and State	14.6	3.4				

- \* Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
- \*\* Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

#### **Types of Services Funded**

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) creates funding targets based on student characteristics and provides flexibility for districts to fund strategies at the local level to improve student outcomes. Our Local Control Accountability Plan outlines LCFF expenditures based on the following three goals: 1) Provide learning opportunities that result in increased academic achievement and ensure quality classroom instruction for all students, including support systems which meet the needs of the targeted population, 2) Enhance the current learning environment to ensure that our schools provide a physically and emotionally safe environment that is culturally responsive to all student, 3)Increase parent, family, and community involvement in the education of all students.

Base grant funding provides direct instruction and support to students and funds teachers, site administration, district office personnel, maintenance, transportation, special education, and all operating expenses. Each site receives a discretionary allocation for site operations. Sites are not responsible for utilities or facility operations. Sites also receive support services such as counseling, health, psychological, and routine maintenance. School sites receive additional monies for supplemental academic interventions directed to targeted students who are not performing at grade level.

Average Teacher and Administrative Salaries (FY 2014-15)						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher	\$44,786	\$43,821				
Mid-Range Teacher	\$63,859	\$69,131				
Highest Teacher	\$92,019	\$89,259				
Average Principal (ES)	\$105,478	\$108,566				
Average Principal (MS)	\$108,050	\$115,375				
Average Principal (HS)	\$123,452	\$125,650				
Superintendent	\$168,000	\$198,772				
Percent of District Budget (FY 2014-15)						
Teacher Salaries	35%	37%				
Administrative Salaries	6%	6%				

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

# **Student Performance**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students							
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	Sch	ool	District		State		
	14-15	15-16	14-15 15-16		14-15	15-16	
ELA	58	60	30	32	44	48	
Math	69	66	22	25	34	36	

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	70	71	78	48	47	45	60	56	54

<sup>\*</sup> Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)						
Constant	Number o	of Students	Percent of Students			
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or		
All Students	32	32	100.0	78.1		
Male	15	15	100.0	80.0		
Female	17	17	100.0	76.5		
White	22	22	100.0	81.8		

Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven						
		Number of	Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	23	22	95.7	45.5	
	4	23	23	100.0	73.9	
	5	32	32	100.0	59.4	
Male	5	15	15	100.0	60.0	
Female	3	13	13	100.0	61.5	
	4	15	15	100.0	73.3	
	5	17	17	100.0	58.8	
White	3	14	14	100.0	42.9	
	4	16	16	100.0	81.3	
	5	22	22	100.0	50.0	

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students** Student Group Grade Standard Met or **Enrolled Tested Tested** Exceeded All Students 3 23 22 95.7 54.5 23 23 100.0 87.0 100.0 5 32 59.4 32 Male 5 15 15 100.0 60.0 **Female** 3 13 13 100.0 76.9 86.7 4 15 15 100.0 5 17 17 100.0 58.8 White 3 14 14 100.0 57.1 4 16 16 100.0 93.8

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

22

100.0

54.5

22

### **California Physical Fitness Test Results**

5

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6			
5	15.6	21.9	56.2			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **Federal Intervention Program**

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/av/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District		
Program Improvement Status	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improve	15			
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improve	71.4			

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.